

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,332		06/18/2001	Jean-Claude Fournier	T214-907321	8912
181	7590	06/19/2006		EXAM	INER
		BRIDGE PC	ANWAH, OLISA		
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833				2614	
				DATE MAILED: 06/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/868,332	FOURNIER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Olisa Anwah	2614		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **NOTICE OF APPEAL** 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 45. Claim(s) rejected: 25-44 and 46-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-24. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 12.
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: See Continuation Sheet.

> Olisa Anwah Patent Examiner 6-8-6

Applicant argues Donovan does not teach or suggest sub-fields in short message type field 722. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. According to Donovan, short message type field 722 contains one octet. Because each octet is a 8 bit array and because each bit in the 8 bit array is a bit field, Donovan teaches the claimed sub-fields as presently claimed. Applicant further alleges that Donovan does not teach the claimed comparing limitation. The Examiner respectfully disagrees because at step 506 of Donovan, each bit field in the 8 bit array is compared with the profile stored in the wireless telephone. For these reasons, the Examiner cannot allow the claims as presently claimed.

Regarding amended claim 25, Donovan discloses a message transmission system comprising a telecommunication network (150) comprising a communication server and at least one wireless telephone (182), the server (see Figure 2) comprising means for sending messages to one or more wireless telephones, the wireless telephone or telephones being equipped with means for storing and processing messages, wherein each message comprises a first field (722) containing information in a plurality of sub-fields relating to the standard profile of the recipient of the message, and in that the processing means identifies and compares the information of the standard profile contained in the message with matching information in the profile stored in each wireless telephone relative to the subscriber using the wireless telephone and authorizes the storage of the message in the storage means if the profile of the subscriber using the wireless telephone is compatible with the standard profile contained in the first field of the message (see Figure 5).

FAN TSANG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600